

FREDERICK COUNTY CPMT AGENDA

July 24, 2023
1:00 PM
107 N Kent St
Winchester, VA
1st Floor Conference Room

Agenda

- I. Introductions
- II. Adoption of Agenda
- III. Consent Agenda Jackie Jury
 - A. June Minutes
 - B. Budget Request Forms
- IV. Executive Session
 - A. Gap in funding of foster care youth
- V. Committee Member Announcements
- VI. CSA Office Jackie Jury
 - A. June Financial Report
 - B. CSA Staff Updates
 - C. Committee Vacancy Recruitment
 - D. Task Follow Up
 - E. Confidentiality Agreements
- VII. Old Business Jackie Jury
 - A. Audit Discussion/Quality Improvement Plan Update
 - 1. Training Policy
 - 2. Parental Contribution
 - B. Legislative Updates
 - 1. PDS Standardized Rates
 - 2. Rescinded Foster Care Maintenance increase
 - 3. Vendor Rate Sheet Revisions
 - C. Discussion of Medicaid Requirement in Appropriation Act Tami Green
- VIII. New Business Jackie Jury
 - A. Administrative Memorandum #23-08
- IX. Informational Items Jackie Jury
 - A. None
- X. Assigned Tasks
- XI. Next CPMT Meeting
 - August 28, 2023, 1:00-3:00pm, 1st Floor Conference Room
- XII. Adjourn

**Instructions for Closed Session:

- Motion to convene in Executive Session pursuant to 2.2-3711(A)(4) and (15), and in accordance with the provisions of 2.2-5210 of the Code of Virginia for proceedings to consider the appropriate provision of services and funding for a particular child or family or both who have been referred to the Family Assessment and Planning Team and the Child & Family Team Meeting process, and whose case is being assessed by this team or reviewed by the Community Management and Policy Team
- Motion to return to open session-
- Motion that the Frederick County CPMT certify that to the best of each member's knowledge, (1) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements, and (2) only such public

business matters were identified in the motion by which the closed meeting was convened were heard, discussed, or considered in the closed meeting.

- Roll Call Affirmation
- Motion to Approve cases discussed in Executive Session

CPMT Meeting Minutes: Monday, June 26, 2023

The Community Policy and Management Team (CPMT) met in the 1st Floor Conference Room at 107 N Kent St, Winchester, VA 22601, on May 22, 2023, at 1:00 pm.

The following members were present:

- David Alley, Private Provider Representative, Grafton Integrated Health Network
- Leea Shirley, Lord Fairfax Health District
- Denise Acker, Northwestern Community Services Board
- Jerry Stollings, 26th District Juvenile Court Service Unit

The following members were not present:

- Jay Tibbs, Frederick County Administration
- Tamara Green, Frederick County Department of Social Services
- Dr. Michele Sandy, Frederick County Public Schools

The following non-members were present:

- Jacquelynn Jury, CSA Coordinator
- Katherine Webster, UR/CQI Specialist

Call to Order: Denise Acker called the meeting to order at 1:00 pm.

- I. Introductions- none
- II. Adoption of Agenda- Jerry Stollings made a motion to adopt the June agenda; David Alley seconded; CPMT approved.
- III. Consent Agenda- The following items were included in the Consent Agenda for CPMT's approval:
 - A. May 22, 2023- CPMT Minutes, David Alley made a motion to approve the minutes, Leea Shirley seconded, Jerry Stollings abstained, CPMT approved.
 - B. Budget Request Forms- Confidential Under HIPAA. Jerry Stollings made a motion to accept the Budget Request Forms, David Alley seconded, CPMT approved.
- IV. Executive Session
There were no items to review in executive session. CPMT members did not enter executive session nor vote on any items related to executive session.
- V. Committee Member Announcements
 - A. Jerry Stollings reported that 2 new probation officers have started with CSU. There is one open position that will remain open until the hiring pause has ended.
 - B. Denise Acker reported that Katrina McClure will begin as the Executive Director on July 1, 2023.
- VI. CSA Office Business
 - A. CSA Financial Report
 1. May 2023 Financial Report
 - a) Monthly Net Expenditures- \$263,709.00 or 7% of the allocation, including Protected and SpEd WrapAround Funds.
 - b) Year to Date Net Expenditures- \$3,098,215.81 or 86% of the allocation, including Protected and SpEd WrapAround Funds, of which \$1,185,108.61 is the local portion.
 - c) Remaining funds without Wrap- \$474,684.49 of the total allocation.
 - d) Protected Funds- \$20,737.00 spent, \$22,720.00 remaining, with \$2,690.00 funds currently encumbered.

- e) SpEd Wrap Funds- \$64,082.30 spent, \$35,917.69 remaining, with \$8,545.00 funds encumbered.
- f) Youth Served: 137 unduplicated youths
 - (1) 92 in Community Based Services
 - (2) 26 in TFC
 - (3) 19 in Congregate Care
 - (4) 20 in Private Day School
- g) Jacquelynn Jury informed CPMT that pool reimbursement requests for FY23 must be submitted to OCS by 9/30/23. To meet this deadline without causing disruption and significant problems to other staff and their processes, provider invoices must be processed for payment by the last check run in August. Providers have been notified that all invoices for services occurring in FY23 must be submitted by 8/15/23. Several notifications have been provided to vendors. Jacquelynn will send a final reminder in July.
- h) Jacquelynn Jury notified case managers that non-mandated funds are available.
- i) Jacquelynn Jury explained that the unexpectedly high amount of SpEd Wrap funds remaining are due to a supplemental allocation request submitted early in FY23. It was anticipated that a specific youth would continue to utilize high levels of services to prevent a residential IEP placement. Mid-FY, the youth was placed residentially, ending his utilization of that funding category.
- j) Jacquelynn Jury noted the table demonstrating the monthly use of funds by Primary Mandate Type shows new expenditures under the Congregate Care CHINS Parental Agreement placement category due to a youth recently placed.

- B. CSA Staff changes: The Accounts Specialist position has been filled. Sarah Makomva will begin on 7/3/23.
- C. Committee Vacancy Recruitment: Jacquelynn Jury reported no one has expressed interest in the CPMT Parent Representative or FAPT Private Provider Representative positions. She will send another notification to private providers announcing vacancies in both positions.
- D. Task Follow up:
 - 1. Web notification of form changes: Jacquelynn Jury stated that Frederick County IT researched the ability to send automatic notifications to registered case managers when changes are made to the Case Manager Web Portal. The site does not have that capability. Denise Acker suggested notifying FAPT members, who can communicate the change to their staff. Jacquelynn Jury noted that not all case managers have a supervisor that sits on FAPT. Jacquelynn Jury mentioned a recent idea which needs to be researched, to use a SharePoint drive which may have the capability to enable automatic notifications.
 - 2. Program Improvement/Retreat Planning Update: The Frederick County CPMT retreat will be held on 8/1/23. Jacquelynn Jury will follow up on possible locations and more details. David Alley recapped that CPMT members began to review the results from the satisfaction survey in May but realized it would be a lengthy process best completed in a different forum.

VII. Old Business

- A. Audit Discussion/Quality Improvement Plan Update
 - 1. Training Policy: a copy of the new policy with the revisions from May was included in the June CPMT packet. Jacquelynn Jury is continuing to work on the training plan by seeking other options for training besides what is available on the VLC and OCS websites. CPMT members reviewed the proposed policy. Dave Alley motioned to approve the training policy, Jerry Stollings seconded, CPMT approved.
 - 2. Parental Contribution: Jerry Stollings reported that OCS will be releasing a model policy for localities to utilize. Jacquelynn Jury noted that she reached out to the OSC sub-group working on that initiative and was told that it would not be released until

sometime in the fall. There also was no indication if there would be a “household” definition included in the OCS materials. Jacquelynn Jury included a proposed policy in the June CPMT packet for team members to review. CPMT members reviewed the proposed policy and discussed some changes to language including the differences between a reassessment, reconsideration, and appeal, the in-house process of assessing families’ ability to contribute to payment of services, how the office will handle specific situations, when to bring issues to CPMT for review, how to address potential conflicts, and what determines a “household” regarding issues of shared custody, number of adults in the home, how much income to assess in each households. Leea Shirley noted that VDH has very specific definitions of what constitutes a “household” and will share those documents with the CPMT. Jacquelynn Jury will make the changes that the CPMT members agreed upon and bring the policy back to the July CPMT meeting. Jacquelynn Jury will alert OCS that for purposes of the audit plan, Frederick CPMT is continuing to work on the parental contribution topic and will provide updates as appropriate.

B. CSA Child in Need of Services Eligibility Discussion

CPMT members expressed the opinion that language under the statutory definition of a “child in need of services”, specifically what constitutes behaviors resulting in “a serious threat to the well-being and physical safety of the child”, (COV §16.1-228) extends beyond a conservative interpretation. Thus, the team concurred that a unanimous agreement by FAPT that a youth meets the threshold of “serious” specified under the “Interagency Guidelines for Specific Foster Care Services for Children in Need of Services Funded through the Comprehensive Services Act”, is sufficiently acceptable for CPMT approval. If FAPT does not unanimously agree, the case should be brought to CPMT for review.

C. Legislative Updates

1. The repeal of legislation requiring the implementation of a rate structure for private day schools is contained within the budget amendment, which has not yet been passed.

VIII. New Business

A. EAS Contract Discussion

Jacquelynn Jury described ongoing issues receiving reports from EAS in a timely manner. Due to being in the FY24 contract renewal cycle, the CSA Coordinator discussed the concerns with the EAS office manager, which yielded some progress towards improving turn-around times. Given the specialty services offered by EAS, the CSA Coordinator expressed concerns that denying the FY24 renewal may negatively impact the ability for case managers to obtain necessary evaluations or services. Denise Acker proposed that if EAS does not provide a report for an assessment within 90 days, those services will not be paid by CSA.

B. FY24 annual risk assessment

Jacquelynn Jury will email the assessment to CPMT members to complete individually.

C. Discussion- Use of Medicaid Provider Requirement in the Appropriations Act

Jacquelynn Jury reported that case managers were asking how many Medicaid providers must be attempted prior to requesting CSA funds for a service and how long of a waitlist to consider when searching for Medicaid providers. CPMT members suggested that case managers exhaust all Medicaid providers for a given service prior to requesting CSA funding. CPMT members also suggested that a waitlist of 60 days is acceptable to utilize Medicaid funding.

IX. Informational Items

A. There are 5 new EBPs that are approved to be utilized using FFPSA funds, but none are currently available in the Northern Shenandoah Valley region.

X. Assigned Tasks

A. Jacquelynn Jury will update OCS on the status of the parental contribution policy.

B. Jacquelynn Jury will continue to work on a plan for training.

- C. Jacquelynn Jury will alert case managers to the change that they will need to exhaust all Medicaid providers prior to requesting CSA funding for a service.
- D. Jacquelynn Jury will revise the parental contribution policy based on the discussion during this meeting and will request more information or guidance from OCS on the lingering questions.
- E. Leea Shirley will send Jacquelynn Jury the VDH policy on what constitutes a household.

XI. Next CPMT Meeting

- July 24, 2023, 1:00-3:00pm, 1st Floor Conference Room

XII. Adjourn: Leea Shirley made a motion to adjourn the meeting, David Alley seconded, CPMT agreed.

Minutes Completed By: Katherine Webster

Frederick County CSA
CPMT Member
CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT

I, THE UNDERSIGNED, DO HEREBY AGREE TO COMPLY WITH VIRGINIA CODE 2.2-5210 AND DO HEREBY AGREE TO PRESERVE THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF ALL PROTECTED INFORMATION TO WHICH I MAY HAVE ACCESS, EITHER WRITTEN OR VERBAL, WHILE PARTICIPATING IN, OR PROVIDING SUPPORT TO, THE FREDERICK COUNTY CHILDREN'S SERVICES ACT PROGRAM.

§ 2.2-5210. Information sharing; confidentiality

All public agencies that have served a family or treated a child referred to a Family Assessment and Planning Team (FAPT) shall cooperate with this team. The agency that refers a youth and family to the team shall be responsible for obtaining the consent required to share agency client information with the team. After obtaining the proper consent, all agencies shall promptly deliver, upon request and without charge, such records of services, treatment or education of the family or child as are necessary for a full and informed assessment by the team.

Proceedings held to consider the appropriate provision of services and funding for a particular child or family, or both, who have been referred to the Family Assessment and Planning Team and whose case is being assessed by this team or reviewed by the Community Policy and Management Team (CPMT) shall be confidential and not open to the public, unless the child and family who are the subjects of the proceeding request, in writing, that it be open. All information about specific children and families obtained by the team members in the discharge of their responsibilities to the team shall be confidential.

Utilizing a secure electronic database, the CPMT and the family assessment and planning team shall provide the Office of Children's Services with client-specific information from the mandatory uniform assessment and information in accordance with subdivision D 11 of § [2.2-2648](#).

I have read, understand and agree to abide by this agreement on confidentiality and all other laws and regulations pertaining to confidentiality of persons served. Failure to comply with this agreement may result in any penalties and actions set forth in the regulations within the individual's respective agency.

Print Name

Signature

Date

Print Name

Signature of Witness

Date

**Frederick County CPMT
CSA Program Audit FY23
Quality Improvement Plan
Updated July 2023**

Audit Report No. 23-2022

Records Reviewed: November 1, 2021-October 1, 2022

Prepared by: Jackie Jury, CSA Coordinator

CPMT Membership:

- Denise Acker, Northwestern Community Services Board, Chair
- Michele Sandy, Frederick County Public Schools, Vice-Chair
- Jay Tibbs, County Administration
- Jerry Stollings, 26th District Juvenile Court Services Unit
- Leea Shirley, Lord Fairfax Health District
- Tamara Green, Department of Social Services
- David Alley, Private Provider Representative

Significant Non-Compliance Observations

1. *Item #1.- “Expenditure reimbursements were requested and processed for payment of services where the requirements for compliance with State and local CSA policies and procedures were not met. Per Code of Virginia (COV) § 2.2-5212, access to the state pool of funds includes the use of a uniform assessment instrument and process. The Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS) Assessment is the approved uniform assessment instrument per CSA Policy 3.6 Mandatory Uniform Assessment Instrument (Adopted December 18, 2007, Revised December 13, 2018). CSA Policy states that the CANS assessment is required initially, annually, and upon discharge from the CSA process. An annual CANS had not been completed timely for one (1) client case file examined, resulting in \$12,940.11 in questioned costs, of which \$7,313.75 represents the state share. The applicable period of service was January 2021 through November 2021.”*

Quality Improvement Plan Details			
Item #1- CANS			
Quality Improvement Task	Responsible Party	Target Date	Status
Review local policy and procedure to locate process failure and determine if revision is needed.	CSA Coordinator CPMT	1/23/23	Completed

Send CPMT members copy of CANS Completion Frequency chart from policy.	CSA Coordinator	1/23/23	Completed
CPMT members will provide chart to case managers within their agency.	CPMT Members	1/31/23	Completed
Provide New Case Manager Training.	CSA Coordinator	2/10/23	Completed
Updated June 2023- Create Staff Training Policy	CSA Coordinator	6/26/23	Completed- Approved & Adopted 6/26/23
Added June 2023- Develop Training Plan a. Identify Training Topics b. Identify Training Frequency c. Identify Staff Roles requiring training	CSA Coordinator	12/31/23 8/31/23 9/30/23 10/31/23	Pending In Progress

2. *“COV §2.2-5207 requires completion of the Statement of Economic Interest (SOEI) filing by non-public officials designated as members of the FAPT. Guidance provided in OCS Administrative Memo #18-02 Statement of Economic Interest Filings for FAPT and CPMT Members directs that the forms be completed upon appointment and filed with the clerk of the local governing body, who is responsible for maintaining these filings as public records for five years. Non-public members on the FAPT did not complete the SOEI form. This internal control established by CSA statutes and operating guidance was not effectively implemented by the CPMT as a safeguard against conflicts of interest.”*

Quality Improvement Plan Details			
Item #2- SOEI			
Quality Improvement Task	Responsible Party	Target Date	Status
Develop procedure to inform Office of the County Clerk when non-public agency representatives are appointed to FAPT.	CSA Coordinator	2/27/23	Completed- Approved & Adopted 2/27/23

3. *“Parental co-payment collections between FY 19-21 (refer to exhibit A) have not been reported in accordance with CSA Policy 4.5.2.e, Pool Fund Reimbursement. Instead, Frederick County’s parental co-payment policy for community-based services requires the parents to pay the assessed co-payment directly to the service provider. The assessed parental contribution is deducted from the authorized funding amount when the purchase order is issued, and the co-pay may be divided based on percentage if there are multiple*

providers. This policy and practice undermine the accuracy of financial reporting of actual parental contribution collected and inappropriately defers responsibility for collection to the provider. This practice assumes that the service provider actually collects the full co-pay required by the parent. The likelihood of misstatements in the Pool Fund Reimbursement Reports is significantly increased because it reports the reduced expenditure without acknowledgment of the parent contribution as the cause and also misrepresents actual expenditures due to the disparity that may exist between the assessed co-payment initially deducted by the CSA program and the actual co-payment collected by the service provider.”

“Further, responsibility for the collection of assessed parental contributions rests with the CPMT in accordance with Appropriation Act, which states in Item 292. E.: ‘Pursuant to subdivision 3 of § 2.2-5206, Code of Virginia, Community Policy and Management Teams shall enter into agreements with the parents or legal guardians of children receiving services under the Children's Services Act.’”

Quality Improvement Plan Details			
Item #3- Copayment			
Quality Improvement Task	Responsible Party	Target Date	Status
Examine Copayment procedures and brainstorm ways in which collection amounts could remain the responsibility of the vendor while meeting the requirements of financial reporting.	CSA Coordinator CPMT	2/27/23	Completed
Determine if other agency collaboration is needed and consult with appropriate contact as necessary.	CSA Coordinator	3/27/23	Completed
Select a procedure that enables the CSA Office to manage copayments within the regulations of reporting and COV guidelines.	CPMT	3/27/23	Completed
Review steps and finalize process with all parties involved.	CSA Coordinator	4/24/23	Completed
Present CPMT with revised written procedure and policy (if necessary) reflecting the new process for approval.	CSA Coordinator	5/22/23	Completed
Implementation of new copayment policy	Stakeholders	7/1/23	Completed

3.8 Parental Contribution/Co-payment

The Code of VA §2.2- 5206, requires local CPMTs to assess parents or legal guardians for the ability to contribute financially toward the cost of services provided. Frederick County CPMT believes families have better outcomes when they are invested and fully engaged in the services and supports offered through the Frederick County Children's Services Act. In order to encourage participation and maximize the resources of the community, the CPMT has adopted a policy that requires families to contribute financially toward the provision of services, based on the ability to pay.

3.8.1 Case Manager Responsibility

- The case manager will determine if the child has been screened and/or enrolled in Medicaid, and whether private insurance or other resources are available to meet the child's needs.
- Prior to an initial referral to the case manager shall notify families of the requirement for an assessment of parental contribution upon accessing CSA funded services. The family shall be informed that failure to provide the supporting documentation to the CSA Office during the assessment process will result the delay of services or the suspension of services until such time that the assessment is complete, and the parental contribution agreement is signed.
- Case managers shall list the requirement for parental contribution on family plans when appropriate, i.e., care plans, court orders, protective orders, etc.

3.8.2 Eligible Populations

Youth and families accessing CSA funded services shall be assessed for a parental contribution, unless specifically prohibited by state or federal law or regulations.

The following eligibility categories will be required to undergo an assessment to establish a monthly parental contribution.

- Foster Care Prevention
- Non-Mandated
- Special Education WrapAround Services

Waivers- Parents enrolled in any of the following programs shall be waived from the parental contribution:

- Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program
- Federal Public Housing Assistance or Section 8
- Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program
- Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)
- Free or Reduced Meals under the National School Lunch Program
- Parents receiving Social Security Disability as their only source of income
- CSA funding of only Drug Screens or Single Evaluations of \$1000.00 or less

Exemptions- The following eligibility categories are exempt from being assessed for parental contribution toward services.

- School-based IEP required services are exempt from the CSA Parental Contribution policy. Federal regulation under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires school districts to provide a Free and Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) to students with a disability.
- The parents or legal guardians of youth placed in the custody of DSS and those receiving Foster Care Services through a Parental Agreement are referred to the Division of Child Support Enforcement (DCSE) for the collection of child support, and therefore not assessed a parental contribution toward services.

3.8.3 Methodology

Families of children receiving CSA funded services shall be assessed a monthly fee to contribute toward the cost of services based on the sliding fee scale adopted by CPMT.

- Informed parental consent- Parents or legal guardians are informed by the case manager prior to FAPT/MDT that CSA funded services are subject to parental contribution.
- Individual Family Service Plan or Care Plan- the FAPT/MDT service plan shall identify services eligible for parental contribution.
- CSA Office Screening- The family shall be subject to an assessment by the CSA Office representative prior to, or immediately after FAPT/MDT to determine the monthly fee for prescribed services.
 - Upon initial receipt of the Authorization to Use and Exchange Information, the CSA Account Specialist shall complete a DSS Service Inquiry to determine if the parent is eligible for a waiver.
 - Frederick County CPMT does not believe the assessment for a parental contribution should delay the initiation of CSA funded services. Therefore, authorized ongoing services (goods and single event services, i.e., evaluations are excluded) may begin during the assessment period. The CSA Office shall complete the assessment and obtain the parent or legal guardian's signature on the required documentation within 30 days of the service start date.

If the CSA Office is unable to obtain the required signatures by the 30th day, through no fault of their own, services may be suspended. The CPMT shall review each case to assess individual circumstances and determine an appropriate action to take, if any.

- The parental contribution shall be in effect for an entire cycle of care. A parent or guardian may request a reassessment in the event of a major change in income, including, but not limited to, change in employment status, household size, enrollment in a waiver eligible program, etc. If a youth's CSA case is closed, the reopening of that case will result in a new assessment for Parental Contribution.

3.8.3 Definition of Household and Determination of Household Being Assessed

All adults living in the household shall be included in the assessment of a Parental Contribution. The CSA Office shall obtain a copy of an existing Court Order identifying the party financially responsible for copayments or parental contribution. If no documentation is provided, the CSA Office shall assess the household of the individual receiving CSA funded services. Unless otherwise specified on a copy of an existing Custody Order provided to the CSA Office, the CSA Office shall

3.8.3 Amount of Parental Contribution

The parental contribution amount shall be based on a CPMT approved Sliding Fee Scale. The approved scale considers household size and total gross income.

3.8.4 Reassessments/Appeals

The following definitions have been established by CPMT:

Reassessment- A reassessment can be completed at any time during each cycle of care. A parent/guardian may request a reassessment after the initial assessment is completed and the parent/guardian feels the amount is unjust, or if there has been a change in their financial situation. Reassessments are completed by the CSA Coordinator and should include current verification of income and documentation verifying such hardship.

Appeal- An appeal may be requested in writing by the parent/guardian if, after reassessment, the parent/guardian continues to feel the amount is unjust. An appeal is completed through CPMT and

shall include current verification of income, proof of financial hardship, and any additional supporting documentation if desired.

- The CSA Office will place the appeal on the next regularly scheduled CPMT meeting agenda. The CPMT shall review the materials provided and render a decision, which shall be final. Within 30 days of the review, the CSA Office will notify the family in writing of the decision of the CPMT.
- The appeal of an ongoing parental contribution shall not disrupt services being received. However, the previously agreed upon amount will continue through the appeal process until a new agreement is signed or services terminate.

3.8.5 Ongoing Processing

- The assessed Parental Contribution amount shall be paid monthly, beginning 60 days after the date services were initiated.
- The CSA Office will send relevant parties a monthly invoice for the assessed amount to be paid on services provided in the prior month. Notice of payment due shall be sent via email and/or postal mail by the 15th of the month. Payment deadlines will be the last day of the month.
- Parental contributions exceeding 30 days past due shall generate a Notice of Past Due Payment to the responsible party(s), case manager, and vendor(s). At any time, parents or legal guardians may request a reassessment or appeal of the assessed amount, providing verification of any changes that have occurred. Information regarding the Frederick County CPMT appeals policy and process shall be included with every Notice of Past Due Payment.
- The CSA Office shall provide two (2) Notices of Past Due Payment. If the responsible party(s) does not submit payment in full or commit to a mutually agreed upon alternative arrangement, services may terminate. The CPMT shall review each case to assess individual circumstances and determine an appropriate action to take, if any.
- In the event that the parent/guardian contacts the CSA Office to dispute services rendered or notice of payment, the CSA Office shall investigate such dispute, collect any verifying documentation, and determine an appropriate resolution.
- Any overpayment by the parent/guardian shall be credited toward the next month's payment due.

From: [Mucha, Marsha \(CSA\)](#)
To: [Mucha, Marsha \(CSA\)](#)
Subject: "[External]"Fw: Increase in Foster Care Maintenance Rates - Rescinded
Date: Friday, July 14, 2023 10:47:35 AM

Please see the enclosed (below my signature) DSS Broadcast (issued this morning) indicating that the previously (see an email from OCS on June 5, 2023) announced 5% increase in foster care basic maintenance rates is rescinded due to the lack of an adopted state budget. The increase in the Independent Living (IL) Stipend to \$800 per month remains in effect.

Thanks for your attention to this matter.

Scott



Scott Reiner

Executive Director

Office of Children's Services

1604 Santa Rosa Rd., Suite 137, Richmond, VA 23229

804-662-9082 (Office)

804-297-7459 (Cell)

scott.reiner@csa.virginia.gov

www.csa.virginia.gov

The information in this email and any attachments may be confidential and privileged. Access to this email by anyone other than the intended addressee is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient (or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this information to the intended recipient), please notify the sender by reply email and immediately delete this email and any copies from your computer and/or storage system. The sender does not authorize the use, distribution, disclosure or reproduction of this email (or any part of its contents) by anyone other than the intended recipient(s). Additionally, no representation is made that this email and any attachments are free of viruses. Virus scanning is recommended and is the responsibility of the recipient.

Broadcast on FY23 budget update for Foster Care Maintenance payments

Categories: [Family Services](#)

The purpose of this broadcast is to inform local departments of social services (LDSS) that the General Assembly did not pass a full budget to amend the 2022 Special Session I Appropriation Act, Chapter 2 during the 2023 session. As a result, foster family home and adoption subsidy rate increases included in the Governor's budget were not approved.

Foster care maintenance rates did not increase on July 1, 2023 as expected. The previous rates (as noted below) remain in effect.

However, an increase to the Independent Living Stipend is permitted and is reflected in the payment rates outlined in the table below.

**Maintenance
Payment Rates
(no change)**

Age of Child	Room and Board	Clothing	Monthly Allowance	Personal care, Recreation, Reading	Total Payment
0 thru 4	\$356	\$65	\$0	\$100	\$521
5 thru 12	\$407	\$84	\$11	\$107	\$609
13 and older	\$494	\$130	\$34	\$114	\$772
Independent Living Stipend	(as of July 1, 2023)				\$800

**Supplemental Clothing Allowance
(no change)**

Age of Child

0 thru 4	\$347
5 thru 12	\$435
13 and over	\$522

The Financial Agreement for Local Department of Social Services Approved Providers (Foster Parents) which had been updated in anticipation of the increase of rates has been removed from FUSION. Foster and Adoptive families should be notified of this situation and LDSS should ensure that a financial agreement which reflects the correct rates is established using the [2022 Financial Agreement for LDSS Approved Providers](#) as needed.

Please contact your Regional [Resource Family Consultant](#) or [Regional Adoption Assistance Consultant](#) with any questions.



COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

Scott Reiner, M.S.
Executive Director

OFFICE OF CHILDREN'S SERVICES

Administering the Children's Services Act

ADMINISTRATIVE MEMO #23-08

To: CPMT Chairs
CSA Report Preparers
CSA Coordinators
CSA Fiscal Agents

From: Kristy Wharton, Chief Financial Officer

Date: July 14, 2023

Subject: FY2023 CSA Program Expenditure Year End
FY2024 Base Pool Allocation Funds / Non-Mandated (protected) Funds
FY2024 Wraparound Services for Students with Disabilities Funds (SPEDWrap)
FY2024 Expenditure Reporting

FY2023 Year-End Reimbursement Processing:

During the months of July, August, and September, LEDRS can accept expenditures incurred in multiple fiscal years. During the months of July and August, a locality can only submit one LEDRS file each month. In the month of September, a locality can submit up to three (3) LEDRS files.

In the months of July, August, and September, LEDRS separates the submitted data into FY2023 and FY2024 based on the purchase order's fiscal year, this will determine the program service year and the month of service. The expenditure reimbursement data from the locality comes in a single submission. Therefore, a locality should review both FY2023 and FY2024 data reimbursement requests before the Fiscal Agent approves the July, August, and September LEDRS submissions.

All FY2023 reimbursement requests, for CSA services incurred during July 1, 2022 through June 30, 2023, must be approved by the local CSA fiscal agent in LEDRS by midnight September 30, 2023. Late submissions will not be accepted for reimbursement as addressed in SEC Policy 4.5.2.

Waivers to the September 30 reporting requirement must be submitted in writing and will be considered only if local governments demonstrate mitigating circumstances beyond their control.

CSA 2024 Base Pool Allocation and Non-Mandated (Protected) Funds:

Attached to this memorandum is a table showing the FY2024 Base Pool Allocation by locality. The amount shown in the table will be the locality's Base Pool Allocation which will be reflected in the CSA Transaction History Report. The table also reflects an estimated local base match needed to receive the state's allocation. Please carefully review the amount allocated to your locality.

The Non-Mandated (Protected) funds are a subset of the locality's Base Pool Allocation. The Non-Mandated (Protected) funds are not additional funds. Your locality can use a portion of the Base Pool Allocation to provide non-mandated services. Attached to this memo is a PDF file, which reflects the FY2024 Non-Mandated (Protected) funds associated with a locality's Base Pool Allocation.

FY2024 Wraparound Services for Students with Disabilities Funds:

The FY2024 state appropriation allocation for "Wraparound Services for Students with Disabilities (SPEDWrap) is \$2.2 million. This specific appropriation represents a continuation of the CSA "earmark" funding for this particular service category.

This limited appropriation places several restrictions on expenditures for this category. The state share of reimbursement of this category of expenditures may not exceed \$2.2 million statewide, nor can the appropriation be used for or transferred to other service categories. As with all state appropriations, any unexpended allocation cannot be carried forward to the next fiscal year. The Office of Children's Services (OCS) must allocate and manage these funds to ensure compliance with these restrictions.

The use of the funds for "Wraparound Services for Students with Disabilities" allows localities to provide services to youth when their identified educational disabilities affect adjustment outside the school environment. These services may provide critical support for youth facing significant home or community challenges. Communities are encouraged to consider their local policies regarding the provision of SPED Wraparound services and to identify strategies to maximize the utilization of community-based support for all youth.

The allocation and management of the funds are based on the following principles.

1. All localities should have access to and an opportunity to utilize the funds,
2. 100% of the earmarked funds will be available for allocation.

The process for allocation and management of the FY2024 SPED Wraparound funds will be as follows:

1. Allocations will be based on a running three-year average of the locality's utilization of the funds.
2. If a locality does not receive SPED Wraparound funds in the initial allocation (due to no history of utilization) or if a locality needs additional SPED Wraparound funds beyond those initially allocated, the locality can request funds by the local LEDRS Report Preparer initiating a request in the appropriate area of the Local Government Reporting section of the CSA website.

3. In January any locality, which has not posted SPED Wraparound expenditures to LEDRS, will risk having their allocation unallocated by OCS.
4. Request for additional SPED Wraparound funds will be supported based on the availability of unallocated SPED Wraparound funds. The total state allocation cannot exceed \$2.2 million.

FY 2024 Expenditure Reporting:

The LEDRS System will open for FY2024 program service year reporting (*services from July 1, 2023 through June 30, 2024*) on August 1, 2023. The LEDRS will be the CSA expenditure system of record and shall be the source to report and calculate the state's share of CSA reimbursement to localities.

Attachments:

FY2024 Base CSA State Pool Allocations
FY2024 Special Education Wraparound Allocations
FY2024 CSA Protected Allocations

Children's Services Act
FY2024 - Base Pool Allocation

FIPS ID	Locality Name	Local Pool Fund Match Rate	FY24 Total Base Allocation	FY24 State Base Allocation	FY24 Local Base Allocation
			-	-	-
1	Accomack	23.32%	235,331	180,441	54,890
3	Albemarle	44.74%	9,794,374	5,412,526	4,381,848
5	Alleghany/Clifton Forge	19.24%	1,256,286	1,014,560	241,726
7	Amelia	32.68%	501,567	337,653	163,914
9	Amherst	27.22%	1,787,299	1,300,862	486,437
11	Appomattox	26.39%	1,775,424	1,306,908	468,516
13	Arlington	46.02%	6,509,156	3,513,945	2,995,211
15	Augusta	33.02%	3,880,160	2,598,892	1,281,268
17	Bath	42.78%	214,165	122,549	91,616
19	Bedford County	31.11%	5,217,657	3,594,444	1,623,213
21	Bland	21.09%	198,849	156,911	41,938
23	Botetourt	36.02%	1,299,353	831,293	468,060
25	Brunswick	24.39%	466,281	352,554	113,727
27	Buchanan	31.56%	1,197,956	819,908	378,048
29	Buckingham	20.23%	1,264,383	1,008,597	255,786
31	Campbell	31.07%	3,926,630	2,706,550	1,220,080
33	Caroline	33.08%	1,321,647	884,446	437,201
35	Carroll	29.10%	3,127,307	2,217,337	909,970
36	Charles City	31.31%	553,072	379,927	173,145
37	Charlotte	22.04%	765,380	596,690	168,690
41	Chesterfield	38.53%	13,362,170	8,213,557	5,148,613
43	Clarke	47.97%	231,590	120,494	111,096
45	Craig	29.01%	434,064	308,128	125,936
47	Culpeper	37.67%	2,366,245	1,474,877	891,368
49	Cumberland	30.40%	537,099	373,803	163,296
51	Dickenson	30.42%	849,906	591,407	258,499
53	Dinwiddie	33.58%	2,000,718	1,328,906	671,812
57	Essex	38.53%	1,163,018	714,899	448,119
61	Fauquier	45.84%	4,451,243	2,410,960	2,040,283
63	Floyd	23.24%	832,565	639,070	193,495
65	Fluvanna	38.11%	2,221,217	1,374,685	846,532
67	Franklin County	28.30%	5,365,173	3,846,909	1,518,264
69	Frederick	43.48%	3,728,949	2,107,438	1,621,511
71	Giles	28.98%	1,380,472	980,426	400,046
73	Gloucester	36.87%	780,240	492,566	287,674
75	Goochland	48.71%	875,803	449,232	426,571
77	Grayson	21.09%	607,731	479,579	128,152
79	Greene	34.71%	1,483,025	968,275	514,750
83	Halifax	23.35%	2,845,634	2,181,088	664,546
85	Hanover	44.44%	6,697,801	3,721,345	2,976,456

Children's Services Act
 FY2024 - Base Pool Allocation

FIPS ID	Locality Name	Local Pool Fund Match Rate	FY24 Total Base Allocation	FY24 State Base Allocation	FY24 Local Base Allocation
87	Henrico	37.55%	16,858,855	10,528,409	6,330,446
89	Henry	27.86%	2,460,045	1,774,786	685,259
91	Highland	38.22%	110,611	68,340	42,271
93	Isle of Wight	36.13%	382,912	244,556	138,356
95	James City	44.83%	1,906,598	1,051,787	854,811
97	King & Queen	31.44%	294,410	201,847	92,563
99	King George	36.27%	2,271,247	1,447,469	823,778
101	King William	38.53%	830,430	510,476	319,954
103	Lancaster	43.91%	840,337	471,352	368,985
105	Lee	22.45%	1,070,206	829,993	240,213
107	Loudoun	47.64%	7,152,497	3,745,361	3,407,136
109	Louisa	44.01%	3,897,985	2,182,411	1,715,574
111	Lunenburg	16.98%	886,361	735,884	150,477
113	Madison	33.55%	1,338,229	889,307	448,922
115	Mathews	42.71%	318,446	182,440	136,006
117	Mecklenburg	22.86%	1,929,240	1,488,135	441,105
119	Middlesex	43.33%	579,484	328,394	251,090
121	Montgomery	28.34%	1,452,009	1,040,510	411,499
125	Nelson	31.32%	1,386,262	952,073	434,189
127	New Kent	43.29%	491,074	278,488	212,586
131	Northampton	19.71%	254,396	204,246	50,150
133	Northumberland	33.04%	267,313	178,994	88,319
135	Nottoway	26.86%	833,956	609,946	224,010
137	Orange	40.83%	2,676,005	1,583,263	1,092,742
139	Page	28.65%	1,046,221	746,432	299,789
141	Patrick	25.39%	728,118	543,226	184,892
143	Pittsylvania	23.55%	3,964,887	3,030,994	933,893
145	Powhatan	43.42%	1,725,761	976,484	749,277
147	Prince Edward	22.32%	423,302	328,835	94,467
149	Prince George	37.16%	2,242,703	1,409,316	833,387
153	Prince William	34.14%	14,456,513	9,521,269	4,935,244
155	Pulaski	29.23%	2,181,963	1,544,259	637,704
157	Rappahannock	41.99%	1,193,093	692,116	500,977
159	Richmond County	32.27%	556,222	376,713	179,509
161	Roanoke County	43.97%	8,436,156	4,726,789	3,709,367
163	Rockbridge	23.36%	2,779,892	2,130,415	649,477
165	Rockingham	34.45%	6,294,711	4,125,969	2,168,742
167	Russell	18.94%	941,213	762,958	178,255
169	Scott	31.54%	723,958	495,624	228,334
171	Shenandoah	35.17%	4,841,516	3,138,961	1,702,555
173	Smyth	23.37%	1,800,667	1,379,862	420,805

Children's Services Act
 FY2024 - Base Pool Allocation

FIPS ID	Locality Name	Local Pool Fund Match Rate	FY24 Total Base Allocation	FY24 State Base Allocation	FY24 Local Base Allocation
175	Southampton	32.30%	899,883	609,178	290,705
177	Spotsylvania	45.88%	9,289,033	5,027,615	4,261,418
179	Stafford	44.39%	5,176,332	2,878,331	2,298,001
181	Surry	39.79%	493,702	297,254	196,448
183	Sussex	23.87%	471,262	358,753	112,509
185	Tazewell	24.55%	1,823,443	1,375,699	447,744
187	Warren	38.53%	1,735,379	1,066,736	668,643
191	Washington	27.60%	2,071,709	1,499,904	571,805
193	Westmoreland	30.25%	1,580,022	1,101,995	478,027
195	Wise	27.55%	1,483,134	1,074,549	408,585
197	Wythe	27.08%	1,738,964	1,268,052	470,912
199	York	38.88%	1,570,135	959,592	610,543
510	Alexandria	53.09%	8,115,534	3,806,941	4,308,593
520	Bristol	25.47%	1,808,486	1,347,906	460,580
530	Buena Vista	23.29%	1,391,542	1,067,460	324,082
540	Charlottesville	30.68%	4,984,790	3,455,332	1,529,458
550	Chesapeake	37.15%	4,134,642	2,598,477	1,536,165
570	Colonial Heights	40.27%	1,278,872	763,877	514,995
580	Covington	24.96%	1,167,633	876,185	291,448
590	Danville	22.23%	2,708,907	2,106,815	602,092
620	Franklin City	37.10%	332,756	209,288	123,468
630	Fredericksburg	34.41%	2,084,849	1,367,453	717,396
640	Galax	31.46%	1,359,417	931,743	427,674
650	Hampton	32.23%	5,495,923	3,724,744	1,771,179
660	Harrisonburg	38.08%	3,038,932	1,881,621	1,157,311
670	Hopewell	26.67%	2,371,583	1,739,008	632,575
678	Lexington	33.02%	1,236,791	828,374	408,417
680	Lynchburg	27.36%	4,855,526	3,526,831	1,328,695
683	Manassas City	41.68%	952,621	555,608	397,013
685	Manassas Park	42.73%	968,211	554,455	413,756
690	Martinsville	33.21%	1,208,766	807,315	401,451
700	Newport News	27.73%	7,213,115	5,212,896	2,000,219
710	Norfolk	24.55%	6,976,261	5,263,714	1,712,547
720	Norton	32.54%	299,102	201,777	97,325
730	Petersburg	35.35%	2,547,454	1,646,929	900,525
735	Poquoson	27.87%	438,034	315,957	122,077
740	Portsmouth	26.05%	1,536,411	1,136,176	400,235
750	Radford	20.35%	2,242,454	1,786,220	456,234
760	Richmond City	36.91%	11,551,908	7,288,405	4,263,503
770	Roanoke City	30.72%	8,919,202	6,178,967	2,740,235
775	Salem	35.13%	2,902,691	1,882,979	1,019,712

Children's Services Act
 FY2024 - Base Pool Allocation

FIPS ID	Locality Name	Local Pool Fund Match Rate	FY24 Total Base Allocation	FY24 State Base Allocation	FY24 Local Base Allocation
790	Staunton	26.99%	2,662,110	1,943,732	718,378
800	Suffolk	24.32%	2,748,523	2,080,029	668,494
810	Virginia Beach	35.69%	11,026,249	7,091,162	3,935,087
820	Waynesboro	38.43%	2,447,728	1,507,066	940,662
830	Williamsburg	45.53%	721,390	392,935	328,455
840	Winchester	45.87%	3,651,387	1,976,380	1,675,007
1200	Greensville/Emporia	22.66%	1,736,136	1,342,774	393,362
1300	Fairfax/Falls Church	46.11%	33,041,597	17,804,712	15,236,885
	Total		382,823,275	244,293,227	138,530,048

Children's Services Act / Office of Children's Services
 FY2024 - Base Pool (Protected) Allocations

ID	Locality Name	Local Pool Fund Base Match Rate	FY2024 State Max Protected Funds	FY2024 Local Match	Total Protected Funds
1	Accomack	23.32%	\$ 38,655	11,759	\$ 50,414
3	Albemarle	44.74%	\$ 121,311	98,210	\$ 219,521
5	Alleghany/Clifton Forge	19.24%	\$ 42,892	10,219	\$ 53,111
7	Amelia	32.68%	\$ 10,000	4,855	\$ 14,855
9	Amherst	27.22%	\$ 85,122	31,830	\$ 116,952
11	Appomattox	26.39%	\$ 10,902	3,908	\$ 14,810
13	Arlington	46.02%	\$ 137,387	117,106	\$ 254,493
15	Augusta	33.02%	\$ 39,871	19,657	\$ 59,528
17	Bath	42.78%	\$ 10,000	7,476	\$ 17,476
19	Bedford County	31.11%	\$ 47,313	21,366	\$ 68,679
21	Bland	21.09%	\$ 10,000	2,673	\$ 12,673
23	Botetourt	36.02%	\$ 17,263	9,720	\$ 26,983
25	Brunswick	24.39%	\$ 16,926	5,460	\$ 22,386
27	Buchanan	31.56%	\$ 34,381	15,853	\$ 50,234
29	Buckingham	20.23%	\$ 15,461	3,921	\$ 19,382
31	Campbell	31.07%	\$ 80,361	36,226	\$ 116,587
33	Caroline	33.08%	\$ 19,958	9,866	\$ 29,824
35	Carroll	29.10%	\$ 22,749	9,336	\$ 32,085
36	Charles City	31.31%	\$ 10,000	4,557	\$ 14,557
37	Charlotte	22.04%	\$ 33,202	9,387	\$ 42,589
41	Chesterfield	38.53%	\$ 476,901	298,942	\$ 775,843
43	Clarke	47.97%	\$ 10,000	9,220	\$ 19,220
45	Craig	29.01%	\$ 10,000	4,087	\$ 14,087
47	Culpeper	37.67%	\$ 40,025	24,190	\$ 64,215
49	Cumberland	30.40%	\$ 84,607	36,961	\$ 121,568
51	Dickenson	30.42%	\$ 23,751	10,381	\$ 34,132
53	Dinwiddie	33.58%	\$ 63,456	32,079	\$ 95,535
57	Essex	38.53%	\$ 10,000	6,268	\$ 16,268
61	Fauquier	45.84%	\$ 92,484	78,265	\$ 170,749
63	Floyd	23.24%	\$ 22,353	6,768	\$ 29,121
65	Fluvanna	38.11%	\$ 26,170	16,116	\$ 42,286
67	Franklin County	28.30%	\$ 140,887	55,604	\$ 196,491
69	Frederick	43.48%	\$ 34,011	26,169	\$ 60,180
71	Giles	28.98%	\$ 15,850	6,467	\$ 22,317
73	Gloucester	36.87%	\$ 35,580	20,780	\$ 56,360
75	Goochland	48.71%	\$ 10,000	9,496	\$ 19,496
77	Grayson	21.09%	\$ 13,863	3,704	\$ 17,567
79	Greene	34.71%	\$ 12,396	6,590	\$ 18,986
83	Halifax	23.35%	\$ 38,098	11,608	\$ 49,706
85	Hanover	44.44%	\$ 84,600	67,666	\$ 152,266
87	Henrico	37.55%	\$ 429,722	258,380	\$ 688,102
89	Henry	27.86%	\$ 50,707	19,578	\$ 70,285
91	Highland	38.22%	\$ 10,000	6,185	\$ 16,185
93	Isle of Wight	36.13%	\$ 103,708	58,672	\$ 162,380

ID	Locality Name	Local Pool Fund Base Match Rate	FY2024 State Max Protected Funds	FY2024 Local Match	Total Protected Funds
95	James City	44.83%	\$ 34,872	28,341	\$ 63,213
97	King & Queen	31.44%	\$ 23,021	10,557	\$ 33,578
99	King George	36.27%	\$ 14,422	8,208	\$ 22,630
101	King William	38.53%	\$ 24,574	15,402	\$ 39,976
103	Lancaster	43.91%	\$ 10,000	7,828	\$ 17,828
105	Lee	22.45%	\$ 44,098	12,763	\$ 56,861
107	Loudoun	47.64%	\$ 298,840	271,853	\$ 570,693
109	Louisa	44.01%	\$ 46,717	36,724	\$ 83,441
111	Lunenburg	16.98%	\$ 92,169	18,847	\$ 111,016
113	Madison	33.55%	\$ 17,437	8,802	\$ 26,239
115	Mathews	42.71%	\$ 10,000	7,455	\$ 17,455
117	Mecklenburg	22.86%	\$ 79,344	23,519	\$ 102,863
119	Middlesex	43.33%	\$ 10,000	7,646	\$ 17,646
121	Montgomery	28.34%	\$ 53,993	21,353	\$ 75,346
125	Nelson	31.32%	\$ 12,721	5,801	\$ 18,522
127	New Kent	43.29%	\$ 10,000	7,634	\$ 17,634
131	Northampton	19.71%	\$ 16,058	3,943	\$ 20,001
133	Northumberland	33.04%	\$ 26,805	13,226	\$ 40,031
135	Nottoway	26.86%	\$ 15,650	5,748	\$ 21,398
137	Orange	40.83%	\$ 28,277	19,516	\$ 47,793
139	Page	28.65%	\$ 85,046	34,157	\$ 119,203
141	Patrick	25.39%	\$ 14,002	4,766	\$ 18,768
143	Pittsylvania	23.55%	\$ 47,385	14,600	\$ 61,985
145	Powhatan	43.42%	\$ 15,674	12,027	\$ 27,701
147	Prince Edward	22.32%	\$ 16,569	4,760	\$ 21,329
149	Prince George	37.16%	\$ 20,374	12,048	\$ 32,422
153	Prince William	34.14%	\$ 779,745	404,172	\$ 1,183,917
155	Pulaski	29.23%	\$ 42,149	17,405	\$ 59,554
157	Rappahannock	41.99%	\$ 10,000	7,238	\$ 17,238
159	Richmond County	32.27%	\$ 10,000	4,765	\$ 14,765
161	Roanoke County	43.97%	\$ 125,436	98,436	\$ 223,872
163	Rockbridge	23.36%	\$ 29,110	8,874	\$ 37,984
165	Rockingham	34.45%	\$ 56,811	29,862	\$ 86,673
167	Russell	18.94%	\$ 31,345	7,323	\$ 38,668
169	Scott	31.54%	\$ 21,525	9,917	\$ 31,442
171	Shenandoah	35.17%	\$ 49,463	26,828	\$ 76,291
173	Smyth	23.37%	\$ 97,251	29,658	\$ 126,909
175	Southampton	32.30%	\$ 16,488	7,868	\$ 24,356
177	Spotsylvania	45.88%	\$ 45,762	38,788	\$ 84,550
179	Stafford	44.39%	\$ 55,493	44,304	\$ 99,797
181	Surry	39.79%	\$ 10,000	6,609	\$ 16,609
183	Sussex	23.87%	\$ 31,610	9,913	\$ 41,523
185	Tazewell	24.55%	\$ 59,097	19,234	\$ 78,331
187	Warren	38.53%	\$ 23,306	14,608	\$ 37,914
191	Washington	27.60%	\$ 36,352	13,858	\$ 50,210
193	Westmoreland	30.25%	\$ 15,606	6,770	\$ 22,376
195	Wise	27.55%	\$ 51,186	19,463	\$ 70,649
197	Wythe	27.08%	\$ 28,842	10,711	\$ 39,553

ID	Locality Name	Local Pool Fund Base Match Rate	FY2024 State Max Protected Funds	FY2024 Local Match	Total Protected Funds
199	York	38.88%	\$ 41,320	26,290	\$ 67,610
510	Alexandria	53.09%	\$ 94,680	107,156	\$ 201,836
520	Bristol	25.47%	\$ 61,541	21,029	\$ 82,570
530	Buena Vista	23.29%	\$ 71,863	21,818	\$ 93,681
540	Charlottesville	30.68%	\$ 391,582	173,329	\$ 564,911
550	Chesapeake	37.15%	\$ 390,418	230,807	\$ 621,225
570	Colonial Heights	40.27%	\$ 14,520	9,789	\$ 24,309
580	Covington	24.96%	\$ 18,306	6,089	\$ 24,395
590	Danville	22.23%	\$ 198,162	56,631	\$ 254,793
620	Franklin City	37.10%	\$ 13,679	8,070	\$ 21,749
630	Fredericksburg	34.41%	\$ 42,729	22,417	\$ 65,146
640	Galax	31.46%	\$ 10,000	4,590	\$ 14,590
650	Hampton	32.23%	\$ 324,777	154,437	\$ 479,214
660	Harrisonburg	38.08%	\$ 21,137	13,001	\$ 34,138
670	Hopewell	26.67%	\$ 71,270	25,925	\$ 97,195
678	Lexington	33.02%	\$ 10,000	4,930	\$ 14,930
680	Lynchburg	27.36%	\$ 375,907	141,619	\$ 517,526
683	Manassas City	41.68%	\$ 139,978	100,022	\$ 240,000
685	Manassas Park	42.73%	\$ 10,000	7,462	\$ 17,462
690	Martinsville	33.21%	\$ 25,799	12,829	\$ 38,628
700	Newport News	27.73%	\$ 990,310	379,988	\$ 1,370,298
710	Norfolk	24.55%	\$ 1,097,638	357,116	\$ 1,454,754
720	Norton	32.54%	\$ 10,000	4,823	\$ 14,823
730	Petersburg	35.35%	\$ 114,304	62,500	\$ 176,804
735	Poquoson	27.87%	\$ 10,000	3,864	\$ 13,864
740	Portsmouth	26.05%	\$ 152,670	53,780	\$ 206,450
750	Radford	20.35%	\$ 10,000	2,554	\$ 12,554
760	Richmond City	36.91%	\$ 652,624	381,766	\$ 1,034,390
770	Roanoke City	30.72%	\$ 484,023	214,653	\$ 698,676
775	Salem	35.13%	\$ 22,237	12,042	\$ 34,279
790	Staunton	26.99%	\$ 102,927	38,041	\$ 140,968
800	Suffolk	24.32%	\$ 309,218	99,379	\$ 408,597
810	Virginia Beach	35.69%	\$ 1,073,425	595,674	\$ 1,669,099
820	Waynesboro	38.43%	\$ 44,282	27,639	\$ 71,921
830	Williamsburg	45.53%	\$ 10,000	8,359	\$ 18,359
840	Winchester	45.87%	\$ 20,162	17,088	\$ 37,250
1200	Greensville/Emporia	22.66%	\$ 64,731	18,963	\$ 83,694
1300	Fairfax/Falls Church	46.11%	\$ 1,630,458	1,395,310	\$ 3,025,768

Statewide	\$ 14,464,225	\$ 7,783,468	\$ 22,247,693
------------------	----------------------	---------------------	----------------------

Children's Services Act
Allocation for WRAP FY2024

FY2024

FIPS	Locality	Local Match	FY	State Share of WRAP Allocation
1	Accomack	23.32%	24	-
3	Albemarle	44.74%	24	45,296.25
5	Alleghany/Clifton Forge	19.24%	24	-
7	Amelia	32.68%	24	-
9	Amherst	27.22%	24	5,615.90
11	Appomattox	26.39%	24	9,461.27
13	Arlington	46.02%	24	22,804.87
15	Augusta	33.02%	24	-
17	Bath	42.78%	24	-
19	Bedford County	31.11%	24	-
21	Bland	21.09%	24	-
23	Botetourt	36.02%	24	2,501.67
25	Brunswick	24.39%	24	1,795.42
27	Buchanan	31.56%	24	-
29	Buckingham	20.23%	24	37,811.67
31	Campbell	31.07%	24	983.33
33	Caroline	33.08%	24	2,793.67
35	Carroll	29.09%	24	-
36	Charles City	31.31%	24	-
37	Charlotte	22.04%	24	23,463.67
41	Chesterfield	38.53%	24	13,217.33
43	Clarke	47.97%	24	22,743.33
45	Craig	29.01%	24	-
47	Culpeper	37.67%	24	66,566.59
49	Cumberland	30.40%	24	2,526.80
51	Dickenson	30.42%	24	-
53	Dinwiddie	33.58%	24	39,754.98
57	Essex	38.53%	24	-
61	Fauquier	45.84%	24	116,735.97
63	Floyd	23.24%	24	-
65	Fluvanna	38.11%	24	166,976.43
67	Franklin County	28.30%	24	12,745.42
69	Frederick	43.48%	24	186,746.73
71	Giles	28.98%	24	7,711.67
73	Gloucester	36.87%	24	7,720.00
75	Goochland	48.71%	24	-
77	Grayson	21.09%	24	-
79	Greene	34.71%	24	-
83	Halifax	23.35%	24	14,083.03
85	Hanover	44.44%	24	16,096.05

FIPS	Locality	Local Match	FY	State Share of WRAP Allocation
87	Henrico	37.55%	24	53,698.60
89	Henry	27.86%	24	-
91	Highland	38.22%	24	-
93	Isle of Wight	36.13%	24	988.85
95	James City	44.83%	24	-
97	King & Queen	31.44%	24	2,934.17
99	King George	36.27%	24	-
101	King William	38.53%	24	13,922.43
103	Lancaster	43.91%	24	5,065.83
105	Lee	22.45%	24	-
107	Loudoun	47.64%	24	7,654.67
109	Louisa	44.01%	24	11,882.00
111	Lunenburg	16.98%	24	27,799.17
113	Madison	33.55%	24	-
115	Mathews	42.71%	24	-
117	Mecklenburg	22.86%	24	39,586.19
119	Middlesex	43.33%	24	-
121	Montgomery	28.34%	24	-
125	Nelson	31.32%	24	-
127	New Kent	43.29%	24	-
131	Northampton	19.71%	24	-
133	Northumberland	33.04%	24	-
135	Nottoway	26.86%	24	-
137	Orange	40.83%	24	19,465.33
139	Page	28.65%	24	11,983.95
141	Patrick	25.39%	24	11,114.67
143	Pittsylvania	23.55%	24	8,473.75
145	Powhatan	43.42%	24	16,506.38
147	Prince Edward	22.32%	24	257.25
149	Prince George	37.16%	24	5,373.42
153	Prince William	34.14%	24	65.23
155	Pulaski	29.23%	24	8,180.42
157	Rappahannock	41.99%	24	13,616.74
159	Richmond County	32.27%	24	-
161	Roanoke County	43.97%	24	16,884.42
163	Rockbridge	23.36%	24	-
165	Rockingham	34.45%	24	1,148.04
167	Russell	18.94%	24	1,159.50
169	Scott	31.54%	24	-
171	Shenandoah	35.17%	24	39,429.57
173	Smyth	23.37%	24	-
175	Southampton	32.30%	24	-
177	Spotsylvania	45.88%	24	7,104.42
179	Stafford	44.39%	24	8,751.25

FIPS	Locality	Local Match	FY	State Share of WRAP Allocation
181	Surry	39.79%	24	-
183	Sussex	23.87%	24	-
185	Tazewell	24.55%	24	3,482.50
187	Warren	38.53%	24	13,119.83
191	Washington	27.60%	24	-
193	Westmoreland	30.25%	24	2,850.00
195	Wise	27.55%	24	89,405.00
197	Wythe	27.08%	24	-
199	York	38.88%	24	-
510	Alexandria	53.09%	24	42,682.81
520	Bristol	25.47%	24	-
530	Buena Vista	23.29%	24	4,235.00
540	Charlottesville	30.68%	24	30,728.50
550	Chesapeake	37.15%	24	23,028.67
570	Colonial Heights	40.27%	24	-
580	Covington	24.96%	24	-
590	Danville	22.23%	24	-
620	Franklin City	37.10%	24	-
630	Fredericksburg	34.41%	24	6,215.00
640	Galax	31.46%	24	-
650	Hampton	32.23%	24	66,879.83
660	Harrisonburg	38.08%	24	792.52
670	Hopewell	26.67%	24	21,764.62
678	Lexington	33.02%	24	-
680	Lynchburg	27.36%	24	2,058.00
683	Manassas City	41.68%	24	406.25
685	Manassas Park	42.73%	24	-
690	Martinsville	33.21%	24	-
700	Newport News	27.73%	24	-
710	Norfolk	24.55%	24	94,764.53
720	Norton	32.54%	24	25,933.33
730	Petersburg	35.35%	24	46,535.00
735	Poquoson	27.87%	24	-
740	Portsmouth	26.05%	24	-
750	Radford	20.35%	24	780.00
760	Richmond City	36.91%	24	-
770	Roanoke City	30.72%	24	-
775	Salem	35.13%	24	880.00
790	Staunton	26.99%	24	-
800	Suffolk	24.32%	24	25,256.79
810	Virginia Beach	35.69%	24	-
820	Waynesboro	38.43%	24	-
830	Williamsburg	45.53%	24	-
840	Winchester	45.87%	24	35,636.14

FIPS	Locality	Local Match	FY	State Share of WRAP Allocation
1200	Greensville/Emporia	22.66%	24	-
1300	Fairfax/Falls Church	46.11%	24	269,163.87
				1,965,796.47